

# TAO-WSTS : Theory, algorithms and tools for WSTS

Alain FINKEL

BRAVAS 26 octobre 2017

# TAO-WSTS

1. Theory for WSTS
2. Algorithms for WSTS
3. Tools for WSTS

# Theory, algorithms and tools for WSTS

- WSTS are monotone TS + a well-founded ordering but without infinite antichains
- Increasingly used to verify web protocols, cache management protocols, multithreaded programs, ...
- But most of the algorithms used to check templates are those designed 15 - 20 years.
- However, for the last ten years or so, the theory of WSTS has undergone a major revival, notably with the theory of ideals and the possibility of finite, and effective, representation of downward closed sets.

# New forward coverability algorithm with ideals enumeration

---

**Procedure 1:** searches for a coverability certificate of  $y$  from  $x$

---

```
1  $D \leftarrow \downarrow x$ 
2 while  $y \notin D$  do
3    $D \leftarrow D \cup \downarrow \text{Post}(D)$ 
4 return true
```

---

---

**Procedure 2:** enumerates inductive invariants to find non coverability certificate of  $y$  from  $x$ .

---

```
1  $i \leftarrow 0$ 
2 while  $\neg(\downarrow \text{Post}(D_i) \subseteq D_i \text{ and } x \in D_i \text{ and } y \notin D_i)$  do
3    $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
4 return false
```

---

# LTL model checking for very WSTS

- Definition. A **very-WSTS** is a labeled WSTS  $S = (X, \rightarrow, \leq)$  such that:
  - S has strong monotonicity
  - $C(S)$  is a deterministic WSTS with strong-strict monotonicity
  - $\text{Idl}(X)$  has finitely many levels

# Acceleration levels

We say that an infinite sequence of ideals  $I_0, I_1, \dots \in \text{Idl}(X)$  is an *acceleration candidate* if  $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots$ .

► **Definition 4.** For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  level of  $\text{Idl}(X)$  is defined as

$$\text{Acc}_n(X) = \begin{cases} \text{Idl}(X) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I_i : I_0, I_1, \dots \in \text{Acc}_{n-1}(X) \text{ is an acceleration candidate} \right\} & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases}$$

We observe that  $\text{Acc}_{n+1}(X) \subseteq \text{Acc}_n(X)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, as expected:

$$\text{Acc}_n(\mathbb{N}^d) = \{I \in \text{Idl}(\mathbb{N}^d) : \omega\text{-rep}(I) \text{ has at least } n \text{ occurrences of } \omega\}.$$

We say that  $\text{Idl}(X)$  has *finitely many levels* if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Acc}_n(X) = \emptyset$ . For example,  $\text{Acc}_{d+1}(\mathbb{N}^d) = \emptyset$ .

# Ideal Karp-Miller algorithm

---

**Algorithm 4.1:** Ideal Karp-Miller algorithm.

---

```
1 initialize a tree  $\mathcal{T}$  with root  $r: \langle I_0, 0 \rangle$ 
2 while  $\mathcal{T}$  contains an unmarked node  $c: \langle I, n \rangle$  do
3   if  $c$  has an ancestor  $c': \langle I', n' \rangle$  s.t.  $I' = I$  then mark  $c$ 
4   else
5     if  $c$  has an ancestor  $c': \langle I', n' \rangle$  s.t.  $I' \subset I$ 
6       and  $n' = n$  /* no acceleration occurred between  $c'$  and  $c$  */ then
7        $w \leftarrow$  sequence of labels from  $c'$  to  $c$ 
8       replace  $c: \langle I, n \rangle$  by  $c: \langle w^\infty(I), n + 1 \rangle$ 
9     for  $a \in \Sigma$  do
10      if  $a(I)$  is defined then
11        add arc labeled by  $a$  from  $c$  to a new child  $d: \langle a(I), n \rangle$ 
12      mark  $c$ 
13 return  $\mathcal{T}$ 
```

---

# Theory, algorithms and tools for WSTS

- WSTS algorithms need to be better understood:
- there are several algorithms for particular classes of models, but it remains to understand the principles of the most efficient algorithms for WSTS.
- Downward-closed sets...



# Theory, algorithms and **tools** for WSTS

- We will test the efficiency of algorithms with prototypes.
- We will continue the effort started in 2000 with the tool FAST and recently with the tool QCOVER by aiming to make the **first prototype** for solving reachability for Petri nets.

# Reachability algorithm

- **Reachability algorithm** (S : Petri net ; x,y : configurations)
- 
- **BEGIN**
- **IF** y is not reachable from x in the associated continuous Petri net OR in the integer Petri net (without guards)
- **THEN**
- **STOP** (write « y is not reachable from x »)
- **ELSE**
- **IF** y is not coverable from x in S
- **THEN**
- **STOP** (write « y is not reachable from x ») ;
- **ELSE**
- Use over-approximations for solving non-reachability : compute the coverability graph,...
- Use exact accelerations for solving reachability : compute semilinear subsets of the reachability set
- Use machine learning techniques (prospectives)
- Use finally, a complex algorithm like the Leroux algorithm with Presburger invariants, the Mayr-Kosaraju algorithm.
- **END**